



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/708,776	11/08/2000	Luis M. Ortiz	K1023	2526

7590 06/30/2005

Kermit D. Lopez/Luis M. Ortiz
ORTIZ & LOPEZ, PLLC
Patent Attorneys
P.O. Box 4484
Albuquerque, NM 87196-4484

EXAMINER

GESESSE, TILAHUN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2684

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/708,776

Applicant(s)

ORTIZ ET AL.

Examiner

Tilahun B Gesesse

Art Unit

2684

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,18,20,26 and 29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8,10-17,19,21-25,27,28 and 30-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/04.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Status of claims

1. This is in response to applicant's amendment and argument filed June 22, 2004, in which claims 9,18,20,26 and 29 have been deleted and claims 1-8, 10-17,19,21-25,27-28,30-40 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-8,10-16,19,21-25,27-28,30-34,36-37,39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Strandwitz et al (US patent No. 6,522,352) "Strandwitz".

Regarding claim 1, Strandwitz discloses a method for receiving venue-based data at a hand held device, (see figure 7 item #715), the method comprising the steps of

Strandwitz discloses wirelessly receiving data at a hand held device (715) the data including video streaming simultaneously from more than one visual perspective within a security "entertainment" venue (700 and 701 of figure 7) and transmitted from at least one venue-based data source of at least the security "entertainment" venue

Art Unit: 2684

(see figure 7 and column 10, lines 24-44) processing the data for display on a display screen (715) associated with the hand held device (see figure 7) and displaying said data on said display screen (display screens of items #715 and 720 of figure 7, column 10, lines 24-44 and column 10, lines 2-23)

Regarding claim 2., Strandwitz discloses the source comprises at least one video camera (700 and 701, 601, 600, 100 of figures 6 and 7).

Regarding claim 3., Strandwitz discloses the least one adapted to provide high-resolution wide-angle video data (column 10, lines 64-column 11, line 43 and figure 8).

Regarding claim 4. Strandwitz discloses receiving at a hand held device data transmitted from at least one venue-based data source (700 and 701), further comprises the step of : receiving through at least one wireless receiver (715 and 720) at said hand held device, data transmitted from said at least one venue-based data source (see figures 6 and 7, column 10, lines 24-44 and column 10, lines 2-23).

Regarding claim 5 Strandwitz discloses broadcasting the data to said hand held device through wireless communications (see figures 6 and 7).

Regarding claims 6-7. Strandwitz discloses transmitting said data from said at least one venue-based data source to said hand held device through a wireless network (see figure 7 and it's disclosure, column 10, lines 24-44 and column 10, lines 2-23).

Regarding claims 8 and 10-13, Strandwitz discloses displaying processed data on the display screen, further comprises the step of : displaying processed data on said display screen, in response to user input through a user interface associated with said hand held device. (display screens of Item #715 and 720 of figure 7).

Regarding claims 14-15, Strandwitz discloses the data further comprises advertising information and promotional information (see figure 7).

Regarding claim 16, Strandwitz discloses a method for wirelessly receiving venue-based data at a hand held device (security system of figure 7), the method comprising the steps of: Strandwitz discloses wirelessly receiving at a hand held device data including at more than one video perspective streaming simultaneously from more than one video camera (700 and 701) located within a security building "entertainment" venue, the data processing said data for display on a display' screen associated with said hand held device; and displaying the data on said display screen, (see figures 6 and 7 column 10, lines 24-44 and column 10, lines 2-23).

Regarding claim 19, it is apparatus claim which corresponds to method claim 1, above. Therefore, it is analyzed and rejected for the same reason set forth in the claim.

Regarding claims 21-25, Strandwitz discloses at least one of the more than one video camera is adapted to provide high-resolution wide-angle wireless video data (see figure 7 and it's disclosure).

Regarding claim 27-28, Strandwitz discloses a display routine adapted for displaying a particular perspective of the venue-based activity on the display screen in response to a user selection of said particular perspective of said venue activity (see figure 7, column 11, line 45-50).

Regarding claim 30-32, Strandwitz discloses the data further comprises advertising information and promotional information (see figures 6 and 7).

Art Unit: 2684

Claim 33, it is a system claim, which corresponds to method claim 1, above. Therefore, it is analyzed and rejected for the same reason as set forth in the claim.

Regarding claim 34, it is a system claim, which corresponds to method claim 1, above. Therefore, it is analyzed and rejected for the same reason as set forth in the claim.

Regarding claims 36-37,39, the civilian camera aims at a building premise for security purpose(figures 6 and 7 column 10, lines 24-44 and column 10, lines 2-23) if the civilian cameras aimed at a stadium or entertainment venue includes at least one of a: football stadium, baseball stadium, soccer stadium, boxing arena, wrestling arena, car racing stadium, horse racing stadium, golf course, concert hall. The civilian camera detects and transmits video data to people of interest at different location.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 17,35, 38 and 40, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mann (CA2237939).

Claims 17,38,40 Mann discloses a method for receiving at least one perspective of a venue-based activity at a hand held device (see figure 1 and item # 100), the method comprising the steps of:

Mann discloses simultaneously receiving at a hand held device more than one video perspective of a venue-based activity transmitted from at least one venue-based data source the at an entertainment venue (see abstract, video is captured with hand written note or notation) processing the more than one perspective for simultaneous display on a touch-sensitive display screen associated with said hand held device (PDA see figure 1 and page 11 second paragraph and page 12 first paragraph) displaying said more than one perspective on said display screen, thereby enabling a user of said hand held device to simultaneously view more than one venue-based perspectives through said-hand held device; and displaying a particular perspective on said display screen in response to a user's selection of said particular perspective from among said plurality of perspectives by touching the touch-sensitive display screen where it overlays the particular perspective (page 12 second paragraph through page 13 first paragraph).

Regarding claim 35, it is a system claim, which corresponds to claim 17, above. It is analyzed and rejected for same reason as set forth in the claim.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8,10-17,,19,21-25,27-28,30-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2684

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tilahun B Gesesse whose telephone number is 571-272-7879. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


TILAHUN GESESSE
PRIMARY EXAMINER